



NARRATIVE INFORMATION SHEET

River Hills, FY2020 U.S. EPA Brownfields Community-Wide Hazardous Substances Assessment Grant

1. Applicant Identification:

River Hills Economic Development District and Regional Planning Commission
300 Spring Street, Suite 2A
Jeffersonville, IN 47130

2. Funding Requested:

- a) Assessment Grant type: Community-wide
- b) Federal Funds Requested: \$300,000
- c) Contamination: Hazardous Substances

3. Location: River Hills Region consists of Clark, Floyd, Harrison, Scott, and Washington Counties in Indiana. Specific TA locations: New Albany in Floyd County, Charlestown in Clark County, Corydon in Harrison County

4. Property information for site specific proposal: NA

5. Contacts:

a) Project Director:

Mr. Nick Creevy, River Hills Economic Development District and Regional Planning Commission
300 Spring Street, Suite 2A Jeffersonville, IN 47130
Phone: (812) 288-4624, Email: ncreevy@riverhills.cc

b) Chief Executive:

Mr. Adam Dickey, River Hills Economic Development District and Regional Planning Commission
300 Spring Street, Suite 2A Jeffersonville, IN 47130
Phone: (812) 288-4624; E-mail: atdickey@gmail.com

6. Population: 282,353 River Hills Region-wide.

Charlestown (Target Area location): population is 8,079; Corydon (Target Area location) is 3,116; New Albany (Target Area Location) is 36,548

River Hills Economic Development District and Regional Planning Commission:
Member Counties: Clark (114,893), Floyd (76,481), Harrison (39,450), Scott (23,722), and Washington (27,807) [2013-2017 American Community Survey]
30 of the 33 River Hills communities have a population of less than 10,000.

Other Factors Checklist:

Other Factors	Page #
Community population is 10,000 or less.	1,4
The applicant is, or will assist, a federally recognized Indian tribe or	



RIVER HILLS

Economic Development District & Regional Planning Commission

United States territory.	
The priority brownfield site(s) is impacted by mine-scarred land.	
The priority site(s) is adjacent to a body of water (i.e., the border of the priority site(s) is contiguous or partially contiguous to the body of water, or would be contiguous or partially contiguous with a body of water but for a street, road, or other public thoroughfare separating them).	1, 2
The priority site(s) is in a federally designated flood plain.	1
The reuse of the priority site(s) will facilitate renewable energy from wind, solar, or geothermal energy; or will incorporate energy efficiency measures.	
30% or more of the overall project budget will be spent on eligible reuse planning activities for priority brownfield site(s) with the target area.	

7. Letter from State or Tribal Environmental Authority: Attached

SUPPORT LETTER FROM INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIROMENTAL
MANAGEMENT



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204
(800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

Eric J. Holcomb
Governor

Bruno L. Pigott
Commissioner

December 3, 2019

Mr. Nicholas Creevy
Community Development Specialist
River Hills EDD & RPC
300 Spring Street, Suite 2A
Jeffersonville, Indiana 47130

Re: IDEM Acknowledgement Letter
U.S. EPA Brownfields Grant Proposal
Community-wide Assessment
Hazardous Substances
River Hills EDD & RPC
Indiana

Dear Mr. Creevy:

This letter is provided in support of the River Hills Economic Development District and Regional Planning Commission (River Hills EDD & RPC), representing Clark, Floyd, Harrison, Scott, and Washington Counties, proposal to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) for Brownfields Community-wide Assessment Grant funding. The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) acknowledges that River Hills EDD & RPC is requesting \$300,000 for hazardous substances to conduct community outreach, expand the current brownfield inventory and prioritization, perform Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessments and Hazardous Materials Surveys on the highest priority brownfields, and develop Remedial Action Plans and/or Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessments as necessary to support site cleanup and/or redevelopment within its member counties with the greatest potential for job creation, especially entrepreneurial investment.

IDEM believes that the River Hills EDD & RPC has demonstrated its commitment to redeveloping brownfields by having taken advantage of financial and/or technical assistance offered by U.S. EPA (e.g., grantee) and the Indiana Brownfields Program (e.g., active Program participants). This Assessment grant funding will help the River Hills EDD & RPC continue its efforts to address brownfields within its counties to facilitate economic recovery and future growth. Should an opportunity arise for the River Hills EDD & RPC to need cleanup/closure or liability assistance at any of the sites investigated with this grant funding, IDEM realizes that the River Hills EDD & RPC is committed to working with the Indiana Brownfields

Program and/or the IDEM Voluntary Remediation Program for technical oversight of grant-funded site activities as necessary. The Indiana Brownfields Program and IDEM are committed to continuing their support of brownfield redevelopment in the River Hills EDD & RPC counties by providing technical assistance and program coordination.

Based on experience and the information submitted, IDEM considers the River Hills EDD & RPC an excellent candidate to receive U.S. EPA grant funding again to continue its regional brownfield redevelopment efforts, which support Indiana's brownfield initiative. IDEM is pleased to assist and looks forward to continuing its partnership with the River Hills EDD & RPC. For further assistance, please contact Michele Oertel of the Indiana Brownfields Program at (317) 234-0235 or at moertel@ifa.in.gov.

Sincerely,



Bruce A. Oertel, Chief
Remediation Services Branch
Office of Land Quality

BAO/mmo

cc: (via electronic transmission)
Beth Grigsby, SME

1. PROJECT AREA DESCRIPTION AND PLANS FOR REVITALIZATION

1.a. Target Area and Brownfields--1.a.i. Background and Description of Target Area

River Hills Economic Development District and Regional Planning Commission (River Hills) is a regional and economic development organization covering the southern Indiana counties of: Clark, Floyd, Harrison, Scott and Washington. Our region sits between the major metropolitan areas of Louisville, Kentucky and Indianapolis, Indiana, and is bordered by the Ohio River to the south and traversed by the Blue River, Indian Creek and Little Indian Creek, along with numerous smaller tributaries.

As the lead applicant for this Community Wide Brownfields Assessment Grant proposal, River Hills unifies the region with economic and community development services including brownfields redevelopment based upon the region's Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS). Together, this commission has 33 local units of government and a total population of 282,353. The CEDS provides a voice to small towns (populations <10,000) that make up 30 of our regions' 33 communities.

Economic roots for the region are in munitions production at the Indiana Army Ammunitions Plant (IAAP), iron, steel, wood, and transportation industries. The Great Recession of 2008 hit the region hard leaving our smaller communities with the loss of major employers, tax revenues and blight remaining from abandonment of facilities and buildings.

River Hills will focus grant funds on brownfields located in three Target Areas (TAs):

TA-1-Corydon Little Indian Creek Corridor, an approximately 0.5-mile long corridor along the banks of Little Indian Creek and adjacent Rice Island. This blighted corridor is the eastern entryway to Corydon (*population 3,116*), and home to several vacant commercial properties that adjoin low-income neighborhoods and a proposed senior housing development.

TA-2-Charlestown River Ridge corridor is located in the northeastern gateway to Charlestown (*population 8,079*) adjacent to Charlestown Park and the Ohio River. The 1,055 acre corridor (former Indiana Army Ammunition Plant) is littered with the presence of over 90, 1940s-era blighted military buildings.

TA-3-New Albany Tract 702 comprises an approximately 1-mile long corridor located in New Albany (*City population 36,058*) in census tract 702 containing the 4-acre, fire ravaged historical former Leather Tannery adjacent to Loop Island Wetlands Nature Park along the Ohio River. The corridor is proximal to impoverished neighborhoods.

1.a.ii Description of the Priority Brownfields Sites

TA-1 Corydon-Little Indian Creek Corridor is home to a ½ mile long strip of vacant or underused commercial properties wedged between the highway corridor and the banks of Little Indian Creek. **A former Auto Sales/Repair property is a priority site in this TA;** it consists of 1.5 acres with three deteriorating buildings that housed numerous automotive service bays for mechanical and auto body repairs. The property has a documented release from a waste oil tank; however, the extent of impact upon soil, groundwater, and the creek with volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) and metals is not known. Asbestos and lead based paint are expected to be present in these buildings. The Town has chosen the Auto Sales/Repair property as a priority site due to its blighted conditions, high visibility on the gateway, and the \$2.5MM development of the Rice Island Trail and Park (adjacent to the site). Assessment and eventual removal of the blighted buildings will improve aesthetics of the area as well as identify/address potential impacts to the planned park/trails down gradient of the site.

TA-2-Charlestown-River Ridge corridor: In 1998, the County created River Ridge Development Authority (RRDA) as a reuse authority to accept conveyance of the IAAP (now known as the River Ridge Commerce Center). TA-2 is the last large area (over 1,000 acres) of River Ridge that has not been prepared for redevelopment. The barrier to redevelopment is the presence of over 90, 1940s-era buildings with crumbling walls, broken windows, and damaged roofs that expose building materials to the elements. Historically, the buildings were part of the IAAP, holding materials and equipment for smokeless powder and other munitions. Although cleanup has been implemented to mitigate explosion risks at the facility, the remaining

environmental concerns may include: hazardous materials contained in equipment in the buildings, underground storage tanks, as well as asbestos building materials. **The Town selected this TA as a Priority Site** due to unsafe buildings adjacent to Charlestown Park that attract vandals, vagrants, and kids, potential environmental impacts to the Park and Ohio River, and anticipated economic development and job potential emanating from redevelopment.

TA-3 New Albany Gateway adjoins the Ohio River and Silver Creek and includes the former Leather Tannery (the Tannery) that burned down last year. TA-3 contains a dozen non-priority sites that include vacant or underutilized industrial/commercial facilities. It has a history of chemical spills from over a century of tannery operations. Previous assessments have confirmed VOCs and solvents in soil and groundwater. **The Tannery is a priority site due to its location** adjacent to the Loop Island Wetlands Nature Park which is frequently visited by residents and tourists as well as potential environmental impacts to the wetlands, the Park and the Ohio River.

1.b. Revitalization of Target Area-1.b.i Reuse Strategy, Alignment with Revitalization Plans

The Corydon Comprehensive Plan looks at the next 20 years in Corydon and enables the towns' resident's vision. The plan addresses many facets of the community, including land use, transportation systems, community facilities/utilities, economic development and brownfield redevelopment. An offshoot of the Plan is the Corydon Strategic Investment Plan which targets the Little Indian Creek corridor, Rice Park and Trail development and surrounding commercial redevelopment as a high priority. River Hills intends to use grant funds to assess the corridor.

"Planning our Legacy"-The Charlestown Comprehensive Plan embraces the River Ridge Strategic Plan, adopted in 2018 which focuses on economic revitalization of the former IAAP to the River Ridge Commerce Center to transform it into a world-class business park. The River Ridge Development Authority intends to attract major employers to the TA in fields such as aerospace, automotive, information technology, life sciences, food and beverage, and logistics. To support the goals of both Charlestown and the River Ridge Development Authority, River Hills will use the grant funds for assessment of the area.

The New Albany Comprehensive Plan, Year 2036 provides redevelopment strategies for vacant brownfield properties along the Ohio River and the New Albany Gateway. A key theme is to invest in the greenways and public spaces associated with this corridor. To support these goals, the Commission will use the grant funds to assess priority sites in the TA.

1.b.ii. Outcomes and Benefits of Reuse Strategy

TA-1 Corydon-Little Indian Creek Corridor: The Auto Sales/Repair property is a highly visible gateway property located on a heavily travelled highway along the Indian Creek Corridor target area. The property is suspected of having environmental concerns and generally perceived as unusable. The property is adjacent to the proposed \$2.5 MM Rice Island Loop Trail and Rice Island Park Development which will include a pedestrian bridge, connection to the Indian Creek Trail, and skate park/playground. The Town envisions commercial reuse of the site with amenities such as restaurants or shops for residents and tourists. Project Outcomes include evaluating and removing environmental impacts to Indian Creek, creating a welcoming gateway into Town, increasing tax revenues and property values, and improving quality of life with the preservation of Rice Island for recreation.

TA-2 Charlestown- River Ridge Commerce Center is located in a designated Opportunity Zone tract in Charlestown. The Center has attracted 26 major employers; however, all are located in Jeffersonville. Assessment of sites in Charlestown will facilitate demolition of the deteriorating buildings making the properties more attractive for redevelopment spurring economic growth in the Opportunity Zone (OZ). Posting site information to the Opportunity Investment Consortium of Indiana will maximize site exposure in order to connect potential investor member sponsors to redevelopment opportunities in the OZs. Expected outcomes for site redevelopment is estimated at 37,500 jobs by 2040, which will generate \$2.0 to \$2.3 billion in annual labor income and \$27 million in personal income for the town of Charlestown alone.

TA-3 New Albany Tract 702- adjoins the Ohio River and Silver Creek and includes more than a dozen vacant and/or underutilized commercial/industrial facilities adjoining an impoverished neighborhood. Located in the TA is the priority 4-acre Tannery site, adjacent to the Loop Island

Wetlands Nature Park at the confluence of Silver Creek and the Ohio River. Outcomes include the assessment/mitigation of environmental impacts related to the historic release of chlorinated solvents to groundwater, soil, creek, and river. Outcomes also include improvement of water quality, preservation of fish and wildlife habitats, and minimizing exposure of harmful pollutants to those that take advantage of the recreational opportunities at the park. Tract 702 is adjacent to two designated Opportunity Zone tracts in New Albany on the Ohio River. Removal of blight and environmental impacts along the river corridor will encourage new economic activities in all tracts due to improved access and aesthetics for the riverfront.

1.c. Strategy for Leveraging Resources- 1.c.i Resources Needed for Site Reuse

River Hills has extensive expertise in leveraging and developing partnerships that bring resources to our projects. We leveraged over \$3.2M in private development during the term of the FY2016 EPA Assessment Grant and are tracking the additional \$75MM (private development) in proposed development. Based upon our previous project experiences, the TAs are eligible for numerous state programs such as the Office of Community and Rural Affairs (demolition, site preparation, infrastructure upgrades), Department of Natural Resources (historic preservation), and the Indiana Department of Transportation (gateway amenities, trail construction) to provide leveraging opportunities for project redevelopment. River Hills' staff has expertise in identifying, acquiring and managing these resources for the communities. River Hills will provide project management for EPA Multipurpose Grants (\$800,000) and Cleanup grants (\$500,000)-if needed. Furthermore River Hills will assist the TAs with accessing the Indiana Brownfields Program Brownfields Revolving Loan opportunities (up to \$500,000). Based upon our past EPA Brownfields grant, we have found that sites that successfully leveraged funds were those with combined resources through public-private partnerships and chose the TAs because of well-established reuse vision and existing resources as outlined in the table below.

TA/Leveraging Sources	Purpose/Activities	Amount	Status
TA 1-Corydon: City, County, Community Foundation Funding	Demolition, Cleanup of deteriorating sites such as the Auto Sales/repair property, Marketing	\$1,000,000	Secured
TA 2-Charlestown Tax Increment Financing; Urban Enterprise Zone, Land Sales	Demolition, Site preparation, Site Infrastructure upgrades, Marketing	\$2,000,000	Secured
TA-3- New Albany Tract 702 Hoosier Foundation Fund	Site preparation, site infrastructure upgrades, property acquisition \$ for gateway, trail development	\$1,000,000	Secured

1.c.ii. Use of Existing Infrastructure

All of the TAs have been developed since the early 1900s and contain the required infrastructure to support most types of redevelopments. However, the Charlestown TA requires that unimproved roads and deteriorated railways will need to be rebuilt, with an estimated cost of \$150 to \$200 million. The River Ridge Development Authority has committed to constructing this infrastructure by utilizing Tax Increment Financing revenues from existing development.

2. COMMUNITY NEED AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

2.a. Community Need-2.a.i. The Community's Need for Funding

Please reference the Demographic Table on the next page. For reference purposes, both TA-1 and TA-2 demographics encompass Town boundaries; TA-3 is a census tract (tract 702) in New Albany. River Hills prioritized the TAs in the region due to small populations/lack of capacity to manage federal grants in TA-1 and TA-2 and the devastating poverty found in TA-3. Other than Charlestown, TA-1 and TA-3 suffer from high poverty rates ranging from 31 to 53%, higher than both the state and US averages; the City of New Albany averages 20% higher than the state and US, and 26% of tract 702 are minorities. Unfortunately, there are higher percentages of children living in poverty in each of these TAs as compared to the State and US and TA-3 averages 126% higher than the State and US. All TAs have Median Household Income (MHI) ranging between 15 and 22% lower than the State. The opioid crisis has cost Harrison, Clark, and Floyd counties \$2.6 million, \$1.2 billion, and \$1.0 billion dollars, respectively, from 2003 to 2017 — placing

them in the top 30% of Indiana's most financially impacted counties. The County-level Aggregate Costs arising from Indiana's Opioid crisis" authored by IUPUI Columbus and IU Bloomington (2018) includes lost productivity that local businesses experienced as well as

TABLE 1- Demographics¹	(TA-1) Corydon	(TA-2) Charlestown	(TA-3) Tract 702	New Albany (City)	River Hills	Indiana	US
Population	3,116	8,079	1,669	36,548	282,353	6.6M	3.2B
Percent Minority %	1.40	9.40	26.10	14.6	5.80	16.10	27.00
% individuals poverty	21.20	14.60	31.40	18.30	12.10	14.60	14.60
Children in Poverty%	29.70	21.70	46.20	28.50	16.30	20.40	20.30
MHI	\$44,145	\$42,450	\$40,463	\$43,914	\$49,098	\$52,182	\$57,562
Median Home Value \$	\$87,600	\$109,300	\$86,900	\$111,300	\$126,520	\$141,100	\$217,600

¹ All data from US Census, American Community Survey 2017, unless cited otherwise; ² Bureau of Labor-11/19

expenses felt on the public level, including strained court systems, police departments and hospitals. These losses detract from the communities' ability to update/maintain aging infrastructure as well as invest in environmental assessments and preparation of brownfield sites for redevelopment.

2.a.ii. Threats to Sensitive Populations-(1) Health or Welfare of Sensitive Populations

The impoverished and sensitive populations are our citizen's (including children) living in poverty in all targeted areas. 30% of children (TA-1), 22% of children (TA-2), and 46% children (TA-3) live in poverty adjacent to contaminated sites, buildings containing hazardous materials, and impaired waterways. All TAs are proximal to recreational amenities and may draw a child's curiosity to explore the unsafe sites that also attract illicit drug activities and/or vagrants. Our TAs are uniquely challenged by higher levels of air toxics exposure which poses higher risks to their health and well-being as compared to other block groups in Indiana. The U.S. EPA's Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool (EJSCREEN) ranks the TAs as 88% to 98% higher than all other block groups in the State for National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) for Cancer risks, 83% to 95% higher than all other block groups in the State for NATA Respiratory Hazard Index, and 64% to 89% higher than other block groups in the State for Lead Paint indicators.

The overriding health risks and welfare concerns combined with the exposure to contaminants emanating from uncontrolled brownfields can be minimized by using grant funds to assess the priority sites in the TAs which will lead to cleaner waterways, removal of blight and will restore safe site conditions, as well as stimulate redevelopment, job growth and quality of life.

2.a.ii.(2) Greater Than Normal Incidence of Disease and Adverse Health Conditions

It is no surprise that the County rates of incidence and mortality associated with respiratory diseases and cancer are elevated and correlate with NATA data above. Lung and Bronchus Cancer Death rates trend from 6% to 32% higher than the State and between 43 to 48% in TA-1 and TA-2. All rates exceed US rates for incidence and health. Uncontrolled brownfields sites can contribute higher levels of particulate matter typically due to wind-blown sediments or exposure to friable building materials. Consistent with the commission's

Table 2: Target Area/County	TA-1 Harrison	TA-2 Clark	TA-3 Floyd	IN	US
Lung&Bronchus Cancer Incidence ¹	94.8	91.8	76.8	72.8	60.2
Lung&Bronchus Cancer Death Rate ¹	69.2	65.5	52.7	52.4	41.9
Chronic Lower Respiratory Deaths ²	79.2	81.8	53.4	55.2	40.9
¹ statecancerprofiles.cancer.gov (2012-2016); ² CDC wonder					

brownfields program goals and guiding objectives of the Comprehensive Plans, the Assessment Grant will support characterizing threats the priority brownfields pose to human health and the environment in its targeted areas. Threats will be subsequently reduced by

development/implementation of cleanup plans that incorporate engineering and/or institutional controls to further limit exposures.

2.a.ii. (3) Disproportionately Impacted Populations

The TAs local and county efforts to address the effects of a steady decrease in employment opportunities, income levels, and tax revenues are being thwarted by the number of abandoned, underutilized sites. As presented earlier in the text, the sensitive populations are children and the poor who are most affected by brownfields, as they are financially “trapped” living in the midst of vacant, blighted buildings that result in reduced property values or dilapidated rental housing. Brownfields are vacant/underutilized deteriorating properties that cause surrounding property values to decline. The Table below shows the distressing reduction of median home values (MHV) in the TA’s priority areas, as compared to individual County MHVs.

The TA homes built prior to 1980 range from 56% to 92% of the housing stock with potential health exposures to friable asbestos, deteriorating walls with lead paint and higher energy and maintenance costs.

The grant-funded assessment and subsequent redevelopment of brownfields in the TA will result in reinvestment in the surrounding residential neighborhoods. This reinvestment will improve the quality of life, value of the adjacent land, and create jobs. In addition to increased real estate values of 5% to 15.2% (“EPA Benefits to Communities”) and redevelopment of brownfields, all TA Comprehensive Plans have developed strategies to incorporate more housing (including affordable) options in their communities.

Table 3 Targeted Areas	Median Home Values (MHV)	County	% Decrease
TA-1 Corydon	\$ 87,600	\$136,400	56%
TA-2 Charlestown	\$109,300	\$131,500	17%
TA-3 Tract 702	\$ 86,900	\$159,700	45%
American Community Survey, 2017; USA MHV \$217,600			

2.b. Community Engagement-2.b.i Project Partners, 2.b.ii. Project Partners Roles

Project Partner Name	Point of Contact Information	Specific role in the project
Harrison County EDC - Agency that promotes economic growth and improved quality of life within their designated communities.	Darrell Voelker dvoelker@hcedcindiana.org (812) 738-0120	Market the brownfield program; provide input on site selection and prioritization; identify sites for businesses/industry expansion; identify redevelopment funds.
Main Street Corydon - A nonprofit organization focused on making Corydon a place of excellence, energy and spirit.	Angel Frizzell Angel@mainstreetcorydon.org (502) 599-3561	Assist with redevelopment of the Auto sales site and find new user for site; identify additional brownfield sites in Corydon.
Community Action of Southern IN - A nonprofit organization dedicated to improving communities of Clark Floyd and Harrison Counties	Phil Ellis pellis@casi1.org (812) 288 6451	Aid with outreach about program to residents they serve (low-income families), assist with site identification and identify resources to the targeted populations.
Friends of the Ohio River Greenway - Nonprofit group to engage public/private awareness of the Ohio River Greenway	Nick Creevy friendsofgreenway@gmail.com (502) 558-2098	Assist with community awareness/outreach, reuse strategies for the Tannery site and identification of other brownfields sites.
River Ridge Development Authority – Reuse Authority-former IN Army Ammunition Plant	Tom Vittitow tom@riverridgecc.com (812) 285-8979	Conduct additional cleanup required specific to new users in the River Ridge Commerce Park

2.b.iii. Incorporating Community Input

The EPA Brownfields initiative is enthusiastically embraced by our Board of Directors (including the TAs representatives); all comments regarding submission of this grant application have been supportive. River Hills is supported by a 42-member board of directors from each of the region’s 5 counties. The board members consist of town and county elected officials, economic development professionals, representatives from private businesses/nonprofits, and a

Governors' appointee. All tasks and prioritization activities associated with the FY2016 EPA Brownfields Grant were shared with the board on a monthly basis which provided a unique opportunity for widespread outreach through our board members across the region. Board Member input regarding projects activities were discussed and published in the minutes of each meeting and were posted on the River Hills website. River Hills will continue the successful community input strategy used in the FY2016 grant (44 total meetings) and work with individual TA leadership, residents/property owners, community/citizens groups, community based partners, and the lenders and developers conducting or supporting the actual work.

The plan for involving these local stakeholders will include three primary efforts: **1)** notification of grant award, **2)** initial outreach beginning with the River Hills Board followed by "kick-off" meetings specific to each TA, **3)** and, on-going education and communication throughout the region at regularly scheduled meetings with individual communities and counties in the region. Quarterly reports, news releases, web postings, and written materials will include Spanish-language versions of all community outreach materials and translators will be available for public meetings upon request. This will allow Hispanic, non-English speaking residents in the Target Areas (0.1% Corydon, 7.6% Charlestown, and 6.8% New Albany, 2013-2017 *American Community Survey*) to participate in the public process.

As sites are identified through public outreach, they will be added to River Hills' inventory. As sites are prioritized, River Hills will present the reasoning for the selection on their internet forums and solicit public feedback as well as conduct stakeholder meetings/interviews. Public meetings will be held as close as possible to the impacted target area to obtain input from local residents/business. The combination of social media, public meetings, and written information will allow for information sharing and give resident/citizen groups a way to voice and resolve their health and safety concerns for grant funded assessments. As assessment, cleanup and/or redevelopment planning is initiated, more intensive involvement activities, including explanations of plans, rationales, and results will occur. Solicitation of comments and feedback on plans or results, will be considered and recorded in meeting minutes as the last step before plans are finalized. At the close of the overall grant project, River Hills will hold a final public meeting to notify the community of the outcomes of the project.

3. TASK DESCRIPTIONS, COST ESTIMATES, AND MEASURING PROGRESS

a. Description of Tasks/Activities and Outputs: River Hills will use the grant to assess priority sites in the TAs and other high-risk and developable sites (i.e., non-priority sites) identified during the 3-year performance period and support cleanup and redevelopment of these brownfields. Project implementation, schedule, and costs are based upon River Hills FY2016 EPA Grant experience. The following four tasks will be implemented to accomplish this plan.

Task 1 – Programmatic and Outreach

i. Project Implementation

- The Work Plan will be prepared and the Cooperative Agreement (CA) will be executed. Grant project tracking and financial systems will be set up and maintained.
- A Qualified Environmental Professional(s) (QEP) will be retained in compliance with applicable federal procurement regulations.
- Quarterly reports will be submitted to the U.S. EPA; the Assessment, Cleanup, and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) will be updated; MBE/WBE/DBE reports and final project closeout documentation will be submitted.
- Staff will attend EPA National Brownfields Conference
- Project "kick-off" meetings will be hosted for the River Hills Board and in each TA.
- Community Outreach Activities: Press Releases, updating River Hills' website and social media as well as community based partners' websites, and site specific meetings will be conducted. Activities also include notifying the impacted residents about assessments at priority and other sites.

-Assistance will be requested from the County or State health departments as appropriate to understand and prioritize health risks at brownfield sites identified for assessment.

ii. Anticipated Schedule

-The Work Plan will be prepared within one month of receiving notification of the grant award; CA will be executed by September 2020. CA Compliance tracking and Financial Systems will be set up upon award of grant and maintained throughout term.

-A QEP will be retained within three months of award.

-Beginning January 2021, Quarterly reports will be submitted within 30 days of the end of the quarterly reporting period, ACRES will be updated as sites are assessed. ACRES updated with cleanup and/or redevelopment information during and/or after the performance period. Annual MBE/WBE reports submitted. Final project closeout documentation submitted as required once the performance period ends.

-Staff will attend the 2021 EPA National Brownfields Conference.

-A project “kick-off” meeting held within one month of receiving notification of the grant award for River Hills Board; Kick-off meetings scheduled within each TA by January 2021.

-Community outreach activities begin immediately upon award announcement and continue throughout the performance period.

iii. Task/Activity Lead: River Hills will lead this Task and Activities.

iv. Outputs: Work Plan, CA, quarterly reports (12); ACRES updates; MBE/WBE reports (2) and final project closeout documentation; staff training; outreach meetings throughout the term (estimated 36) and development of outreach materials.

Task 2 – Site Inventory

i. Project Implementation

Soliciting input for sites region-wide; interviewing stakeholders and visiting sites to determine if projects meet eligibility and prioritization scoring criteria. Non-priority sites will be evaluated and added to the inventory. TA-related sites will receive higher prioritization ranking.

ii. Anticipated Schedule

Inventory and prioritization activities will begin upon grant announcement and will continue throughout 3-year performance period. New sites/non-priority sites will be added to inventory

iii. Task/Activity Lead: River Hills will be the lead for this Task and Activities

iv. Outputs

Updated brownfields inventory and prioritization.

Task 3 – Site Assessment

i. Project Implementation

-Priority sites (and nonpriority sites identified during performance period) evaluated through performance of Phase I and/or Phase II assessments, in accordance with All Appropriate Inquiry (ASTM Standard E1527-13) and other ASTM standards/practices.

-QEP will prepare a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) and submit it to the U.S. EPA for approval.

-QEP will conduct assessments on both priority and other sites following securing site access.

-River Hills will coordinate activities with stakeholders/TAs/Board/Partners.

-River Hills and QEP will meet to ensure required site access has been secured, individual assessments are progressing, and the overall project schedule is met.

ii. Anticipated Schedule

-Once sites are selected and site access is granted, EPA eligibility will be submitted for approval. Phase I and/or Phase II assessments will begin by February 2021 and continue throughout the term.

-Phase II Assessments (and Sampling and Analysis Plans prepared) begin once EPA approves QAPP anticipated to be accomplished by April 2021.

-Assessments on both priority and other sites continue throughout the performance period.
 -River Hills staff and QEP meet monthly to discuss priority sites, ensure required site access/eligibility have been secured, individual projects are progressing, and the overall project schedule is met.
 -River Hills staff meets with prospective purchasers, developers to determine their specific project needs for redevelopment for overall design of project and to identify funding gaps and types of resources needed (such as OCRA funding for demolition and cleanup, local TIF resources, etc.)

iii. Task/Activity Lead: The QEP will lead this task.

iv. Outputs

Outputs: 1 QAPP and an anticipated 24 completed Phase I, HMA, and Phase II ESA reports.

Task 4 – Cleanup Planning

i. Project Implementation

-Cleanup/ redevelopment planning as required by various state of Indiana programs for sites (both priority and nonpriority sites assessed using the grant) where redevelopment is imminent. Assessment of brownfields cleanup/redevelopment alternatives, evaluation of needed institutional and engineering controls, and preparation of cleanup and reuse plans.

-If needed, River Hills will identify potential clean-up resources specific for redevelopment of sites including potential EPA Brownfields Cleanup funding, Indiana Brownfields Program Revolving Loan Fund, and opportunity for insurance recovery for cleanup.

ii. Anticipated Schedule

-Anticipated by October 2021, sites selected for Cleanup planning will continue throughout the performance period. Site status and Comfort Letters requests submitted to Indiana Brownfields Program for prospective purchasers/developers/owners. State Letters issued after state-determined waiting period.

iii. Task/Activity Lead : The QEP will lead this task.

iv. Outputs: 4 cleanup planning documents.

b. Cost Estimates: Approximately 90% of the budget will go directly to contractual activities: Outreach, Assessment and Cleanup with 83% of the budget allotted to Phase I and Phase II activities. There are no indirect costs, nor will expenses be incurred for equipment or fringe benefits. Outputs are expected be achieved within the 3-year performance period, as discussed above in 3.a. **The entire budget is for hazardous materials only.**

Task 1 – Programmatic and Outreach: \$30,000 Total Budget

Personnel costs: Programmatic (\$7,475) and Outreach (\$7,475) = **\$14,950.**

- *Programmatic*-149.5 hrs @ avg rate - \$50/hour = \$7,475 for contractor selection/oversight, monthly progress meetings, and EPA reporting- *est. \$624 per quarter;*
- *Outreach*- 149.5 hrs @ avg rate - \$50/hour = \$7,475 for outreach activities described above (CA compliance reporting and up to 36 outreach meetings) –*est. \$624 per quarter.*

Administrative: 150 hrs @ avg rate - \$50/hour = **\$7,500** to maintain grant financial reporting/tracking system and invoice approval for term of grant – *est. \$625 per quarter.*

Travel costs: **\$1,750** for one person’s attendance at one U.S. EPA Brownfields Conference: registration-\$200, airfare-\$450, 4 nights hotel-\$740, 4 days per diem and incidentals-\$360.

Supplies: **\$250** for paper, printing, etc. to produce project information and outreach materials.

Contractual: **\$5,550** for consultant assistance on technical summaries for quarterly reports, monthly progress meetings, all outreach activities throughout term of grant.

Task 2 - Site Inventory and Prioritization: \$3,500 Total Budget

Personnel: 70 hrs @ avg rate - \$50/hour = \$3,500 for stakeholder interviews, project site visits, prioritization of sites- *est. \$290 per quarter.*

Task 3 – Site Assessment: \$250,500 Total Budget

Personnel: 50 hrs @ avg rate - \$50/hour = **\$2,500** to coordinate assessment activities w/stakeholders-roughly \$208 per quarter.

Contractual: \$248,000

- 9 Phase I ESAs @ avg cost of \$4,000 = \$36,000
- 6 Phase II ESAs @ avg cost of \$30,000 = \$180,000
- 5 Hazardous Materials Surveys @ avg cost of \$6,000 = \$30,000
- 1 QAPP and updates = \$2,000

Task 4 – Cleanup Planning: \$16,000 Total Budget

Contractual costs: 4 cleanup plans @ avg cost of \$4,000 = **\$16,000**.

Budget Categories		Hazardous Substances Project Tasks (\$)				Total
		Task 1 Programmatic, Outreach	Task 2 Inventory, Prioritization	Task 3 Phase I, Phase II	Task 4 Cleanup Planning	
Direct Costs ¹	Personnel	\$14,950	\$3,500	\$2,500		\$20,950
	Administrative (2.5%)	\$7,500				\$7,500
	Travel	\$1,750				\$1,750
	Supplies	\$250				\$250
	Contractual	\$5,550	\$0	\$248,000	\$16,000	\$269,550
Total EPA Budget		\$30,000	\$3,500	\$250,500	\$16,000	\$300,000

¹No indirect costs will be incurred.

c. Measuring Environmental Results: River Hills’ staff have a strong history of compliance with financial and reporting requirements for state and federal grants. River Hills will diligently track, measure and report outputs (e.g., the number of Phase I and Phase II assessments, cleanup plans, and meetings) and outcomes (e.g., acres of land assessed; land remediated and redeveloped; acres of parks and greenspace preserved or created; number of jobs created or retained; tax revenue generated; redevelopment investment value; and other funding leveraged) in ACRES. The actual outputs will be compared to the estimated number of outputs listed in Section 3.a. River Hills will document outcomes/outputs that cannot be easily entered into ACRES (i.e., website updates, staff training, outreach/meetings) in quarterly reports. River Hills will also evaluate the extent to which the outputs result in the protection of human health and the environment. River Hills will evaluate the project progress semi-annually and, if goals are not being met, will meet with local stakeholders and the QEP to discuss the shortcomings and adjust the project approach.

4. PROGRAMMATIC CAPABILITY AND PAST PERFORMANCE

4.a. Programmatic Capability

4.a.i. Organizational Structure, 4.a.ii. Description of Key Staff

River Hills has been in operation for 40 years and has an experienced team well-versed at the implementation and compliance of State and Federal grant programs (over \$139 M) including the most recent FY2016 EPA Brownfields Grant. River Hills unifies the region’s 5 counties and provides economic and community development services such as grant application assistance and project management. Their guiding principles are in their Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS), a document that unifies the region and defines the regional strategy to create jobs, foster more stable and diversified economies, and improve the quality of life.

River Hills’ organizational structure will operate in the same manner as with the previous EPA Brownfields Assessment grant. River Hills will execute the Cooperative Agreement (CA) and ensure compliance to the CA, as well as be responsible for financial tracking, outreach to

stakeholders and liability management. Representatives from each of the member counties and TA stakeholders will provide input on site selection/prioritization, land assembly, and other regional economic impacts throughout the 3-performance period. Procurement of an environmental consultant, site selection, prioritization of sites and other key decisions to be made by River Hills staff will be overseen and approved by the River Hills Board (includes all TAs).

Key staff include **Mr. Adam Dickey**, Executive Director, will serve as the Project Lead, the Board liaison, and oversee the overall project to include procurement, community outreach. Mr. Dickey has over 19 years of experience in community planning and economic development. He holds a Bachelor of Arts in Political Science from Indiana University Southeast. **Mr. Nicholas Creevy**, Community Development Specialist, will be the primary contact for the project and responsible for submitting all reporting requirements including quarterly reports, financial reports, progress, and final summary reports to the EPA Region 5 staff. Mr. Creevy is a Certified Grant Administrator through the Indiana OCRA and holds a Master of Urban Planning Degree from the University of Louisville. **Ms. Lorena Goodale**, Administrative and Technical Coordinator, will provide financial tracking and management support. She has 7 years of experience providing administrative support and attended Indiana University Southeast.

4.a.iii Acquiring Additional Resources

River Hills will retain an environmental consultant to assist in managing grant activities and conduct environmental assessment tasks. River Hills has a procurement process in place and has managed the procurement of contractors for multiple state and federal grant projects, including EPA assessment grants. The process complies with federal procurement regulations and includes guidance to attract and utilize minority- and women-owned businesses, as possible. Within one month of securing the grant Cooperative Agreement, River Hills will prepare the project Request for Qualifications and will direct and oversee the procurement process and will select a consultant within three months of award. The selected consultant(s) will have managed U.S. EPA Assessment Grant projects and be familiar with all programmatic requirements.

4.b. Past Performance and Accomplishments-4.b.i. Currently Has or Previously Received an EPA Brownfields Grant (1) Accomplishments

River Hills previously received an EPA Brownfields Coalition Assessment Grant for FY2016 (10/1/16-9/30/19) and successfully accomplished all activities within the 3 year term of the grant: BF-00E02003-0 Hazardous Substances and Petroleum Assessment Grants: The outputs for the \$556,000 grant include: Region wide Public Involvement (44 outreach throughout the term), 10 Phase I Environmental Site Assessments, 10 Phase II Environmental Site Assessments, 1 Hazardous Materials Report, 7 Cleanup Plans resulting in 7 Comfort Letters issued by the Indiana Brownfields Program. The grant leveraged over \$3.2MM with an additional \$75MM in proposed development. At this point, only 3 jobs were created; however, many more are projected once several development projects are completed. Only \$70.12 remained in the budget at closeout.

(2) Compliance with Grant Requirements

River Hills developed Work Plans and successfully negotiated Cooperative Agreements (CAs) with the U.S. EPA. River Hills complied with the Work Plans and their associated schedules, terms, and conditions. River Hills submitted and received approval for a project Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) and associated updates, complied with quarterly reporting and annual financial status reporting requirements, and submitted to the U.S. EPA, via the ACRES database, the site information and assessment data for their grant.

THRESHOLD CRITERIA

THRESHOLD CRITERIA

RIVER HILLS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT AND REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION FY 2020 U.S. EPA BROWNFIELDS HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES GRANT APPLICATION

THRESHOLD CRITERIA

1. Applicant Eligibility

River Hills Economic Development District and Regional Planning Commission (River Hills) is a regional and economic development organization covering the Southern Indiana counties of: Clark, Floyd, Harrison, Scott and Washington. River Hills was formed in 1974 as a multi-county governmental agency (Regional Planning Commission) under Indiana Code 36-7-7 (see attached). River Hills is also an Economic Development District (EDD) as designated by the U.S. Department of Commerce – Economic Development Administration (EDA).

Documentation of Applicant Eligibility is attached.

2. Community Involvement

The EPA Brownfields initiative is enthusiastically embraced by our Board of Directors (including the TAs representatives); all comments regarding submission of this grant application have been supportive. River Hills is supported by a 42-member board of directors from each of the region's 5 counties. The board members consist of town and county elected officials, economic development professionals, representatives from private businesses/nonprofits, and a Governors' appointee. All tasks and prioritization activities associated with the FY2016 EPA Brownfields Grant were shared with the board on a monthly basis which provided a unique opportunity for widespread outreach through our board members across the region. Board Member input regarding projects activities were discussed and published in the minutes of each meeting and were posted on the River Hills website. River Hills will continue the successful community input strategy used in the FY2016 grant and work with individual TA leadership, residents/property owners, community/citizens groups, community based partners, and the lenders and developers conducting or supporting the actual work.

The plan for involving these local stakeholders will include three primary efforts: 1) notification of grant award, 2) initial outreach beginning with the River Hills Board followed by "kick-off" meetings specific to each TA, 3) and, on-going education and communication throughout the region at regularly scheduled meetings with individual communities and counties in the region. Quarterly reports, news releases, web postings, and written materials will include Spanish-language versions of all community outreach materials and translators will be available for public meetings upon request. This will allow Hispanic, non-English speaking residents in the Target Areas (0.1% Corydon, 7.6% Charlestown, and 6.8% New Albany, 2013-2017 American Community Survey) to participate in the public process.

As sites are identified through public outreach, they will be added to River Hills' inventory. As sites are prioritized, River Hills will present the reasoning for the selection on their internet forums and solicit public feedback as well as conduct stakeholder meetings/interviews. Public meetings will be held as close as possible to the impacted target area to obtain input from local residents/business. The combination of social media, public meetings, and written information will allow for information sharing and give resident/citizen groups a way to voice and resolve their health and safety concerns for grant funded assessments. As assessment, cleanup and/or redevelopment planning is initiated, more intensive involvement activities, including explanations of plans, rationales, and results will occur. Solicitation of comments and feedback on plans or results, will be considered and recorded in meeting minutes as the last step before plans are finalized. At the close of the overall grant project, River Hills will hold a final public

meeting to notify the community of the outcomes of the project.

3. **Expenditure of Assessment Grant Funds:** River Hills will submit all Closeout Documentation by 12/20/2019 to the EPA Project Officer and the MBE/DBE office. The remaining budget of our \$550,000 grant is \$70.12. The 11/4/2019 documentation of all grant drawdowns and final balance is attached.

APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY

Information Maintained by the Office of Code Revision Indiana Legislative Services Agency

11/22/2004 04:19:08 PM EST

IC 36-7-7**Chapter 7. Regional Planning Commissions****IC 36-7-7-1****Application of chapter**

Sec. 1. This chapter applies to any area consisting of two (2) or more counties (referred to as a "region" in this chapter).

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26.

IC 36-7-7-2**Establishment**

Sec. 2. (a) The legislative bodies of all the counties in a region may, by concurrent resolutions, request the establishment of a regional planning commission (referred to as a "commission" in this chapter). Official copies of the resolutions must be forwarded to the governor, who shall then appoint himself or a member of his staff to immediately notify the other members of the commission and to act as temporary chairman for the election of officers. The commission shall, by resolution, designate a name for itself that reflects the commission's role and function and that may include the words "Regional Planning Commission".

(b) This subsection applies to each commission established after July 1, 1978. A county participating in a commission is not subject to the tax imposed under section 12 of this chapter, unless all the concurrent resolutions establishing the commission accept the application of the tax.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26. Amended by P.L.144-1992, SEC.1.

IC 36-7-7-3**Counties transferring membership between commissions or joining existing commissions; procedure**

Sec. 3. (a) A county may request a change in its participation from one commission to another, or request to join a commission if it is not participating, under subsection (b).

(b) The legislative body of the county must, by resolution, request the inclusion of the county in the commission. The county auditor shall transmit a copy of the resolution to the governor, the chairman of the commission, and, if applicable, the chairman of the commission that the county is requesting to leave.

(c) The commission to be joined may consider a request under subsection (b). It may, by a majority vote of all its members, adopt a resolution including the requesting county in the commission.

(d) Whenever a resolution is adopted under subsection (c), the chairman of the commission shall call a meeting to organize the enlarged commission. He shall call to this meeting all members of the commission plus:

(1) if the new county is changing its participation from one commission to another, the persons

from that county who served on the commission that the county is leaving; or

(2) if the new county has not been participating, a representative of the executive of that county.
As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26.

IC 36-7-7-4

Members; appointment; compensation; certification; vacancies

Sec. 4. (a) The following members of the commission shall be appointed from each county in the region:

(1) A representative of the county executive who may be either a member of the executive or a person appointed by it.

(2) A representative of the county fiscal body who must be a member of the fiscal body.

(b) The following members of the commission shall be appointed from each county in the region having a population of more than fifty thousand (50,000):

(1) The county surveyor or a person appointed by the surveyor.

(2) Two (2) persons appointed by the executive of each municipality having a population of more than fifty thousand (50,000).

(3) One (1) person appointed by the executive of each of the seven (7) largest municipalities having a population of less than fifty thousand (50,000). If there are fewer than seven (7) municipalities, enough additional persons appointed by the county executive to bring the total appointed under this subdivision to seven (7).

(c) The following members of the commission shall be appointed from each county in the region having a population of less than fifty thousand (50,000):

(1) One (1) person appointed by the executive of each of the five (5) largest municipalities or of each municipality if there are fewer than five (5).

(2) If there are fewer than five (5) municipalities, enough additional persons appointed by the county executive to bring the total appointed under this subsection to five (5).

(d) One (1) voting member of the commission shall be appointed by the governor.

(e) At least two-thirds (2/3) of the commission members must be elected officials. All persons appointed to the commission must be:

(1) knowledgeable in matters of physical, social, or economic development of the region; and

(2) residents of the municipality, county, or region that they represent.

A member of the commission may also serve as a member of a plan commission in the region.

(f) Members of the commission shall serve without salary but may be reimbursed for expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

(g) The respective appointing authorities shall certify their appointments, and the certification shall be retained as a part of the records of the commission.

(h) If a vacancy occurs by resignation or otherwise, the respective appointing authority shall appoint a member for the unexpired term. Members shall be certified annually, and their terms expire on December 31 of each year.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26. Amended by Acts 1981, P.L.310, SEC.63; P.L.144-1992, SEC.2; P.L.168-1994, SEC.1; P.L.165-2003, SEC.4.

IC 36-7-7-4.1 Repealed

(Repealed by P.L.165-2003, SEC.7.)

IC 36-7-7-5

Officers; meetings; notice; rules; record of proceedings; quorum

Sec. 5. (a) At its first regular meeting in each year the commission shall elect from its members a chairman, vice chairman, secretary, and a treasurer, not more than two (2) of whom may be from the same county. If the region is divided into subregions under section 10 of this chapter, there must be at least one (1) officer from each subregion. The vice chairman may act as chairman during the absence or disability of the chairman.

(b) The commission shall fix the time and place for holding regular meetings, but it shall meet at least quarterly and at such other times as may be established by the commission or the executive board. Special meetings of the commission may be called by the chairman or by five (5) members of the commission upon written request to the secretary. The secretary shall send to all the members at least forty-eight (48) hours in advance of a special meeting a written notice fixing the time and place of the meeting. Written notice of a special meeting is not required if the time of the special meeting has been fixed in a regular meeting, or if all the members are present at the special meeting. Notice of any meeting may be waived by a member by a written waiver filed with the secretary.

(c) The commission shall adopt rules for the transaction of business and shall keep a record of its resolutions, transactions, findings, and determinations, which is a public record.

(d) A majority of members constitutes a quorum. An action of the commission is official, however, only if it is authorized by a majority of the commission at a regular or properly called special meeting with at least one (1) member from each county in the region present.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26. Amended by Acts 1981, P.L.310, SEC.64.

IC 36-7-7-6**Executive board**

Sec. 6. (a) The commission shall elect from among its members an executive board consisting of:

- (1) the four (4) officers of the commission;
- (2) one (1) member of the commission from each county in the region;
- (3) one (1) additional member of the commission from each

county in the region having a population of more than fifty thousand (50,000); and

- (4) the nonvoting member of the commission appointed by the governor.

All members shall be elected by a vote of the full membership of the commission.

(b) If a vacancy occurs in the executive board a successor shall be elected from among the members in the same manner as the member whose position has been vacated.

(c) The executive board shall conduct the business of the commission, except for:

- (1) the adoption and amendment of bylaws, rules, and procedures for the operation of the commission;
- (2) the election of officers and members of the executive board as provided in this chapter; and
- (3) the adoption of the annual appropriation budget after review by the executive board.

(d) The executive board shall meet regularly at least once each month, unless otherwise determined by its members. The executive board shall notify the full membership of the commission of all its meetings with copies of its preliminary or final agendas and shall report all its actions and determinations to the full membership of the commission.

(e) A majority of members constitutes a quorum. An action of the executive board is official, however, only if it is authorized by a majority of the board at a regular or properly called special meeting. Any action of the executive board shall be reviewed at the next regular meeting of the commission following the executive board's action, and upon the written request of a member of the commission, the action shall be brought to a vote of the full commission.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26.

IC 36-7-7-7**Powers and duties**

Sec. 7. (a) The commission shall institute and maintain a comprehensive policy planning and programming and coordinative management process for the region. It shall coordinate its activities with all units in the region and shall coordinate the planning programs of all units and the state. Except when a commission exercises powers under subsection (j), the commission shall act in an advisory capacity only.

(b) The commission may provide technical assistance to any unit in the region that requests it. This technical assistance includes the provision of skills and knowledge for planning, developing, administering, improving, and securing:

- (1) public and private grants-in-aid;
- (2) cooperative arrangements between governments; and
- (3) the performance of governmental powers and duties.

(c) The commission may divide its jurisdiction into subregions under section 10 of this chapter for purposes appropriate to the study,

analysis, or coordination of specific problems or concerns. The commission may conduct all necessary studies for the accomplishment of its duties. It may publicize and advertise its purposes, objectives, and findings and may distribute reports on them. It may provide recommendations when requested to the participating units and to other public and private agencies in matters relative to its functions and objectives and may act when requested as a coordinating agency for programs and activities of such agencies as they relate to its objectives. The commission may not implement, enter into an agreement for, or propose a program that includes interstate wastewater management or disposal.

(d) The commission may adopt by resolution any regional comprehensive or functional plan, program, or policy as its official recommendation for the development of the region, subject to the power of a county to exempt itself under section 9 of this chapter. The commission shall make an annual report of its activities to the legislative bodies of the counties and municipalities in the region.

(e) The commission may receive grants from federal, state, or local governmental entities or from individuals or foundations, and may enter into agreements or contracts regarding the acceptance or use of those grants and appropriations for the purpose of carrying out any of the activities of the commission. A county or municipality may, from time to time upon the request of the commission, assign or detail to the commission any employees to make special surveys or studies requested by the commission.

(f) For the sole purpose of providing adequate public services, the commission may acquire by grant, gift, purchase, lease, devise, or otherwise and hold, use, improve, maintain, operate, own, manage, or lease (as lessor or lessee) such real or personal property as the commission considers necessary for that purpose. The commission may apply for, receive, and expend grants, loans, or any other form of financial assistance available under any federal grant program.

(g) The commission may enter into coordinative arrangements with any adjacent county or municipality in Indiana or an adjoining state, or with an overlapping multicounty or interstate planning or development agency, state agency, or federal agency, as are appropriate to the achievement of its objectives or to address a common issue. However, the commission may not delegate any of its powers or duties.

(h) The commission may appoint advisory committees to assist in the achievement of its objectives. Members of advisory committees are not entitled to compensation for their services but may be reimbursed for expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

(i) The commission shall act as the designated review agency and as the clearinghouse as described in federal Office of Management and Budget Circular A-95.

(j) The commission may provide administrative, management, or technical services to a unit that requests the services. The unit and the commission may enter into a contract concerning the commission's provision of administrative, management, or technical

services and the cost to the unit for the services.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26. Amended by P.L.145-1992, SEC.1.

IC 36-7-7-8

Agreements with other states

Sec. 8. Counties in the region may enter into agreements with other states, but these agreements do not affect other counties, subregions, or the region. One subregion may also contract with other subregions for services or programs.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26.

IC 36-7-7-9

Objections to program; petition

Sec. 9. Whenever the commission receives a petition signed by a majority of the commission members representing a county affected by a particular program, objecting to the establishment of the program within that county, the commission may not implement the program in that county.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26.

IC 36-7-7-10

Subregional committees

Sec. 10. (a) A commission may organize into not more than two (2) subregions and provide for the organization of two (2) subregional planning committees, and for meetings and rules of procedure of those committees. These rules of procedure shall be adopted as a part of the rules and bylaws of the commission.

(b) The actions of each subregional committee shall be referred to the other for review. The executive director and staff of the commission shall serve both subregional committees. Each subregional committee shall consider problems that do not directly affect the other subregion. Each subregional committee may hold meetings and elect a chairman and secretary from among its own members.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26.

IC 36-7-7-11

Executive director; powers and duties

Sec. 11. (a) The commission shall appoint an executive director who shall serve at the pleasure of the commission as reviewed and recommended by the executive board. The executive director must be qualified by training and experience in the management of public agencies and knowledgeable in planning.

(b) The executive director is the chief administrative officer and regular technical advisor of the commission. Subject to supervision by the commission, the executive director:

- (1) shall execute the commission functions;
- (2) shall appoint and remove the staff of the commission;
- (3) shall submit to the commission annually, or more often if

required, a status report on the operation of the agency;

(4) may, with the approval of the executive board, execute contracts, leases, or agreements on behalf of the commission with other persons;

(5) is entitled, upon his written request, to be given access by all governmental agencies to all studies, reports, surveys, records, and other information and material in their possession that are required by him for the accomplishment of the activities and objectives of the commission;

(6) shall propose annually a budget for the operation of the commission and administer the budget as approved by the commission;

(7) shall keep the records and care for and preserve all papers and documents of the commission; and

(8) shall perform other duties and may exercise other powers that the commission or the executive board delegates to him.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L. 309, SEC. 26.

IC 36-7-7-12

Annual appropriation budget; tax levy; use of funds

Sec. 12. (a) The commission shall prepare and adopt an annual appropriation budget for its operation, which shall be apportioned to each participating county on a pro rata per capita basis. After adoption, any amount that does not exceed an amount for each participating county equal to thirty cents (\$0.30) per capita shall be certified to the respective county auditor who shall advertise the amount and establish the rate in the same manner as other county budgets. Any amount of the adopted budget that exceeds an amount equal to thirty cents (\$0.30) per capita for each participating county is subject to review by the county fiscal body in the usual manner of budget review. The tax so levied and certified shall be estimated and entered upon the tax duplicates by the county auditor and shall be collected and enforced by the county treasurer in the same manner as other county taxes are estimated, entered, collected, and enforced. The tax, as collected by the county treasurer, shall be transferred to the commission.

(b) In fixing and determining the amount of the necessary levy for the purpose provided in this section, the commission shall take into consideration the amount of revenue, if any, to be derived from the federal grants, contractual services, and miscellaneous revenues above the amount of those revenues considered necessary to be applied upon or reserved upon the operation, maintenance, and administrative expenses for working capital throughout the year.

(c) After approval no sums may be expended except as budgeted unless the commission authorizes their expenditure. Before the expenditure of sums appropriated as provided in this section, a claim must be filed and processed as other claims for allowance or disallowance, for payment as provided by law.

(d) Any two (2) of the following officers may allow claims:

(1) Chairman.

(2) Vice chairman.

(3) Secretary.

(4) Treasurer.

The treasurer of the commission may receive, disburse, and otherwise handle funds of the commission subject to applicable statutes and procedures established by the commission.

(e) The commission shall act as a board of finance under the statutes relating to the deposit of public funds by political subdivisions.

(f) Any appropriated money remaining unexpended or unencumbered at the end of the year becomes part of a nonreverting cumulative fund to be held in the name of the commission. Unbudgeted expenditures from this fund may be authorized by vote of the commission and upon other approval as required by statute. The commission is responsible for the safekeeping and deposit of such sums, and the state board of accounts shall prescribe the methods and forms for keeping the accounts, records, and books to be used by the commission. The books, records, and accounts of the commission shall be periodically audited by the state board of accounts, and these audits shall be paid for as provided by

statute.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26. Amended by P.L.144-1992, SEC.4; P.L.165-2003, SEC.5.

IC 36-7-7-13

Economic development districts; definition; payments by counties; use of funds

Sec. 13. (a) An economic development district is a group of adjacent counties that:

- (1) contains at least two (2) redevelopment counties;
- (2) includes an economic development growth center; and
- (3) has been officially designated as an economic development district by the federal government under Title 42, U.S.C. section 3171, on the recommendation of the state.

(b) Counties may make payments to officially designated economic development districts. The board of directors of the economic development district shall determine the amount of the payments, which may be based on the assessed valuation or the population of each county, and the method of making the payments, subject to appropriations by the fiscal bodies of the counties comprising the economic development district.

(c) The economic development district may receive and expend all sums appropriated or granted to it for purposes and activities authorized by law, and shall deposit these sums in its own name and follow all accounting, bonding, and auditing procedures required by law.

(d) The economic development district is responsible for the administration, safekeeping, and deposit of any monies appropriated or granted to it, and may delegate all or part of that responsibility to a designated financial officer.

(e) The economic development district may receive grants from

federal, state, or local governments for the purpose of carrying out any of the planning and development activities of the district.

(f) Any sums appropriated to an economic development district that remain uncommitted at the end of the budget year revert on a pro rata basis to the general funds of the counties comprising the district.

As added by Acts 1981, P.L.309, SEC.26.

*Evidence of legal existence of the
River Hills Regional Planning Commission*

FLOYD COUNTY RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD
OF COMMISSIONERS OF Floyd COUNTY, INDIANA

TO HONORABLE OTIS R. BOWEN, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF INDIANA

Pursuant the authority vested in the undersigned by virtue of P.L. 183,
acts of 1973, Chapter 1.1, Section 2 (IC 1971, 18-7-1.1-2); and

WHEREAS, having discussed the desirability of organizing a regional planning
commission including the counties of Clark, Floyd, Harrison,
Scott, and Washington with
representatives of the legislative bodies of said counties; and

WHEREAS, having determined that it is in the long term best interest to join
together for the purpose of establishing a regional planning commission for said
counties.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The undersigned Commissioners for and on behalf of Floyd County,
Indiana hereby request that a regional planning commission including the counties
of Clark, Floyd, Harrison, Scott and Washington
be established as provided in the laws of the State of Indiana, and the county
auditor is directed to forward copies of this resolution to Honorable Otis R. Bowen,
Governor of the State of Indiana.

Done this 10 day of May, 19 74

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF

Baywood County, Indiana
FLOYDCharles H. Jacobberger
James F. Foster

5-2-74
To W.T.
y

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD

OF COMMISSIONERS OF CLARK, COUNTY, INDIANA
TO HONORABLE OTIS R. BOWEN, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF INDIANA

Pursuant to the authority vested in the undersigned Board by virtue of
P. L. 183, Acts of 1973, Chapter 1.1, Section 2 (IC 1971, 18-7-1. 1-2); and

WHEREAS, having discussed the desirability of organizing a regional planning
commission including the counties of CLARK, FLOYD, HARRISON,
SCOTT, and WASHINGTON with
representatives of the legislative bodies of said counties; and

WHEREAS, having determined that it is in the long term best interest to join
together for the purpose of establishing a regional planning commission for said
counties.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The Board of Commissioners for and on behalf of CLARK County,
Indiana, hereby request that a regional planning commission including the counties
of CLARK, FLOYD, HARRISON, SCOTT and WASHINGTON
be established as provided in the laws of the State of Indiana, and the county
auditor is directed to forward copies of this resolution to the Honorable Otis R.
Bowen, Governor of the State of Indiana.

Done this 6th day of May, 19 74

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF

CLARK, County, Indiana

Harry S. Abbott
G. Blum Roney

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD
OF COMMISSIONERS OF Harrison COUNTY, INDIANA
TO HONORABLE OTIS R. BOWEN, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF INDIANA

Pursuant the authority vested in the undersigned by virtue of P.L. 183,
Acts of 1973, Chapter 1.1, Section 2 (IC 1971, 18-7-1.1-2); and

WHEREAS, having discussed the desirability of organizing a regional planning
commission including the counties of Clark, Floyd, Harrison, Scott
and Washington with
representatives of the legislative bodies of said counties; and

WHEREAS, having determined that it is in the long term best interest to join
together for the purpose of establishing a regional planning commission for said
counties.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The undersigned Commissioners for and on behalf of Harrison County,
Indiana hereby request that a regional planning commission including the counties
of Clark, Floyd, Harrison, Scott, and Washington
be established as provided in the laws of the State of Indiana, and the county
auditor is directed to forward copies of this resolution to Honorable Otis R. Bowen,
Governor of the State of Indiana.

Done this 3rd day of June, 19 74

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF

Harrison County, Indiana

Joseph J. Hettler, Jr.
Harvey C. Bowen
Joseph W. Martin

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD
OF COMMISSIONERS OF Scott COUNTY, INDIANA

TO HONORABLE OTIS R. BOWEN, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF INDIANA

Pursuant the authority vested in the undersigned by virtue of P.L. 183,
Acts of 1973, Chapter 1.1, Section 2 (IC 1971, 18-7-1.1-2); and

WHEREAS, having discussed the desirability of organizing a regional planning
commission including the counties of Clark, Floyd, Harrison,
Scott, and Washington with
representatives of the legislative bodies of said counties; and

WHEREAS, having determined that it is in the long term best interest to join
together for the purpose of establishing a regional planning commission for said
counties.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The undersigned Commissioners for and on behalf of Scott County,
Indiana hereby request that a regional planning commission including the counties
of Clark, Floyd, Harrison, Scott, and Washington
be established as provided in the laws of the State of Indiana, and the county
auditor is directed to forward copies of this resolution to Honorable Otis R. Bowen,
Governor of the State of Indiana.

Done this 4th day of March, 19 74

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF

Scott

County, Indiana

James E. Craig
Ebner
Ed H. H. H.

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD
OF COMMISSIONERS OF Washington COUNTY, INDIANA
TO HONORABLE OTIS R. BOWEN, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF INDIANA

Pursuant the authority vested in the undersigned by virtue of P.L. 183,
Acts of 1973, Chapter 1.1, Section 2 (IC 1971, 18-7-1.1-2); and

WHEREAS, having discussed the desirability of organizing a regional planning
commission including the counties of Clark, Floyd, Harrison,
Scott, and Washington with
representatives of the legislative bodies of said counties; and

WHEREAS, having determined that it is in the long term best interest to join
together for the purpose of establishing a regional planning commission for said
counties.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The undersigned Commissioners for and on behalf of Washington County,
Indiana hereby request that a regional planning commission including the counties
of Clark, Floyd, Harrison, Scott, and Washington
be established as provided in the laws of the State of Indiana, and the county
auditor is directed to forward copies of this resolution to Honorable Otis R. Bowen,
Governor of the State of Indiana.

Done this 1 day of April, 19 79

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF

Washington County, Indiana

Lee Jackson
Lester Jackson
James Fowler

CERTIFICATION

I, Homer Motsinger, hereby certify that I am duly elected, qualified, and acting Secretary of the River Hills Regional Planning Commission and that the attached are true and accurate copies of copies of the original resolutions authorizing the establishment of the River Hills Regional Planning Commission in accordance with Public Law 183, Acts of 1973, Chapter 1.1, Section 2 (Indiana Code 1971, 18-7-1.1-2).

By Homer Motsinger
Homer Motsinger, Secretary
River Hills Regional Planning Commission

State of Indiana
County of Washington

Homer Motsinger, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the person who signed the above certification and says that he has read and understands the above certification.

Therese Canale
~~Notary Public~~
Clerk Wash. Cir. Court

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, in and for said County and State this 31 day of July, 1978.

My Commission Expires 12/31/80.

ACCOUNT LEDGER

11/14/2019

**River Hills EDD & RPC
EPA Brownfield Coalition Assessment
Federal Cash Control Register
EPA Grant #: 00E02003**

REQUEST FOR PAYMENT SUBMITTED				DRAW DOWN CHECKS RECEIVED			DESCRIPTION OF EXPENDITURES			DISBURSEMENTS				BALANCE OF FEDERAL CASH	
Document Number	Date of Request	Amount Requested	Cumulative Amount	Date Draw Deposited	Check Deposited	Cumulative Amount Deposited				Date Disbursed	Amount Disbursed	Warrant # (Check #)	Cumulative Amount	Cash on Hand	Grant Fund Balance
													EPA		\$ 550,000.00
1	3/16/2017	\$ 6,563.75	\$ 6,563.75	3/17/2017	\$ 6,563.75	\$ 6,563.75	SME Inv# 73204 (HM)			2/28/2017	\$ 707.50	11624	\$ 707.50	\$ 5,856.25	\$ 543,436.25
							SME Inv# 73207 (P)			2/28/2017	\$ 175.00	11624	\$ 882.50	\$ 5,681.25	
							SME Inv# 74044 (HM)			3/15/2017	\$ 4,342.50	11633	\$ 5,225.00	\$ 1,338.75	
							SME Inv# 74045 (P)			3/15/2017	\$ 1,338.75	11633	\$ 6,563.75	\$ -	
2	5/3/2017	\$ 7,551.03	\$ 14,114.78	5/10/2017	\$ 7,551.03	\$ 14,114.78	SME - Inv# 74515 (HM)			3/31/2017	\$ 1,221.25	11647	\$ 7,785.00	\$ 6,329.78	\$ 535,885.22
							SME - Inv# 74516 (P)			3/31/2017	\$ 97.50		\$ 7,882.50	\$ 6,232.28	
							SME - Inv# 75014 (HM)			4/28/2017	\$ 206.25	11670	\$ 8,088.75	\$ 6,026.03	
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 1			5/15/2017	\$ 6,026.03	11683	\$ 14,114.78	\$ -	
3	7/5/2017	\$ 9,203.47	\$ 23,318.25	7/10/2017	\$ 9,203.47	\$ 23,318.25	SME - Inv# 75880 (HM)			6/15/2017	\$ 2,301.25	11706	\$ 16,416.03	\$ 6,902.22	\$ 526,681.75
										6/30/2017	\$ 2,758.75	11721	\$ 19,174.78	\$ 4,143.47	
							SME - Inv# 75881 (P)			6/30/2017	\$ 913.75	11727	\$ 20,088.53	\$ 3,229.72	
							SME - Inv# 76264 (HM)			6/30/2017	\$ 1,110.00	11721	\$ 21,198.53	\$ 2,119.72	
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 2			7/14/2017	\$ 2,119.72	11731	\$ 23,318.25	\$ -	
4	9/7/2017	\$ 57,064.69	\$ 80,382.94	9/13/2017	\$ 57,064.69	\$ 80,382.94	SME - Inv# 77497 (HM)			8/15/2017	\$ 6,793.75	11758	\$ 30,112.00	\$ 50,270.94	\$ 469,617.06
							SME - Inv# 77498 (P)			8/15/2017	\$ 450.00		\$ 30,562.00	\$ 49,820.94	
							SME - Inv# 78116 (HM)			9/15/2047	\$ 45,711.22	11785	\$ 76,273.22	\$ 4,109.72	
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 3			9/15/2017	\$ 4,109.72	11784	\$ 80,382.94	\$ -	
5	10/18/2017	\$ 25,418.96	\$ 105,801.90	10/23/2017	\$ 25,418.96	\$ 105,801.90	SME - Inv #79407 (HM)			10/31/2017	\$ 24,160.10	11825	\$ 104,543.04	\$ 1,258.86	\$ 444,198.10
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 4			10/31/2017	\$ 1,258.86	11824	\$ 105,801.90	\$ -	
6	11/13/2017	\$ 70,806.62	\$ 176,608.52	11/15/2017	\$ 70,806.62	\$ 176,608.52	SME - Inv #80215 (HM)			11/15/2017	\$ 1,731.25	11839	\$ 107,533.15	\$ 69,075.37	\$ 373,391.48
							SME - Inv #80514 (HM)			11/15/2017	\$ 67,418.51	11839	\$ 174,951.66	\$ 1,656.86	
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 5			11/15/2017	\$ 1,656.86	11838	\$ 176,608.52	\$ -	
7	1/5/2018	\$ 27,699.57	\$ 204,308.09	1/8/2018	\$ 27,699.57	\$ 204,308.09	SME - Inv #81953			1/12/2018	\$ 25,651.85	11883	\$ 202,260.37	\$ 2,047.72	\$ 345,691.91
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 6			1/12/2018	\$ 2,047.72	11880	\$ 204,308.09	\$ -	
8	2/12/2018	\$ 38,613.95	\$ 242,922.04	2/13/2018	\$ 38,613.95	\$ 242,922.04	SME - Inv #82652			2/13/2018	\$ 36,707.59	11912	\$ 241,015.68	\$ 1,906.36	\$ 307,077.96
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 7			2/13/2018	\$ 1,906.36	11909	\$ 242,922.04	\$ -	

11/14/2019

River Hills EDD & RPC
EPA Brownfield Coalition Assessment
Federal Cash Control Register
EPA Grant #: 00E02003

REQUEST FOR PAYMENT SUBMITTED				DRAW DOWN CHECKS RECEIVED			DESCRIPTION OF EXPENDITURES			DISBURSEMENTS				BALANCE OF FEDERAL CASH	
Document Number	Date of Request	Amount Requested	Cumulative Amount	Date Draw Deposited	Check Deposited	Cumulative Amount Deposited				Date Disbursed	Amount Disbursed	Warrant # (Check #)	Cumulative Amount	Cash on Hand	Grant Fund Balance
		Prev. Balance	\$ 242,922.04		Prev. Balance	\$ 242,922.04						Prev. Balance	\$ 242,922.04	Prev Bal	\$ 307,077.96
9	3/9/2018	\$ 60,219.46	\$ 303,141.50	3/12/2018	\$ 60,219.46	\$ 303,141.50	SME - Inv #83184 (HM)			3/15/2018	\$ 60,219.46	11935	\$ 303,141.50	\$ -	\$ 246,858.50
10	4/10/2018	\$ 28,243.31	\$ 331,384.81	4/11/2018	\$ 28,243.31	\$ 331,384.81	SME - Inv #83878 (HM)			4/13/2018	\$ 26,195.59	11956	\$ 329,337.09	\$ 2,047.72	\$ 218,615.19
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 8			4/13/2018	\$ 2,047.72	11957	\$ 331,384.81	\$ -	
11	4/27/2018	\$ 49,118.06	\$ 380,502.87	4/30/2018	\$ 49,118.06	\$ 380,502.87	SME - Inv #84115 (HM)			4/30/2018	\$ 25,776.12	11971	\$ 357,160.93	\$ 23,341.94	\$ 169,497.13
							SME - Inv #84116 (P)			4/30/2018	\$ 23,341.94	11971	\$ 380,502.87	\$ -	
12	5/29/2018	\$ 28,296.23	\$ 408,799.10	5/31/2018	\$ 28,296.23	\$ 408,799.10	SME - Inv #85106 (HM)			5/31/2018	\$ 12,117.99	11992	\$ 392,620.86	\$ 16,178.24	\$ 141,200.90
							SME - Inv #84108 (P)			5/31/2018	\$ 13,747.52	11992	\$ 406,368.38	\$ 2,430.72	
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 9			5/31/2018	\$ 2,430.72	11993	\$ 408,799.10	\$ -	
13	7/11/2018	\$ 12,451.04	\$ 421,250.14	7/12/2018	\$ 12,451.04	\$ 421,250.14	SME - Inv #85837 (HM)			7/13/2018	\$ 405.75	12031	\$ 409,204.85	\$ 12,045.29	\$ 128,749.86
							SME - Inv #85845 (P)			7/13/2018	\$ 10,887.93	12031	\$ 420,092.78	\$ 1,157.36	
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 10			7/13/2018	\$ 1,157.36	12032	\$ 421,250.14	\$ -	
14	8/29/2018	\$ 8,979.78	\$ 430,229.92	8/31/2018	\$ 8,979.78	\$ 430,229.92	SME - Inv #86625 (HM)			8/31/2018	\$ 773.08	12071	\$ 422,023.22	\$ 8,206.70	\$ 119,770.08
							SME - Inv #86634 (P)			8/31/2018	\$ 3,814.64	12071	\$ 425,837.86	\$ 4,392.06	
							SME - Inv #88098 (P)			8/31/2018	\$ 2,344.34	12071	\$ 428,182.20	\$ 2,047.72	
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 11			8/31/2018	\$ 2,047.72	12070	\$ 430,229.92	\$ -	
15	11/13/2018	\$ 26,767.83	\$ 456,997.75	11/14/2018	\$ 26,767.83	\$ 456,997.75	SME - Inv #90193 (P)			11/15/2018	\$ 24,720.11	12128	\$ 454,950.03	\$ 2,047.72	\$ 93,002.25
							River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 12			11/15/2018	\$ 2,047.72	12129	\$ 456,997.75	\$ -	
16	11/28/2018	\$ 24,147.00	\$ 481,144.75	11/30/2018	\$ 24,147.00	\$ 481,144.75	SME - Inv #90626 (P)			11/30/2018	\$ 24,147.00	12135	\$ 481,144.75	\$ -	\$ 68,855.25
17	12/11/2018	\$ 9,497.81	\$ 490,642.56	1/29/2019	\$ 9,497.81	\$ 490,642.56	SME - Inv #92091 (P)			1/31/2019	\$ 8,255.87	12182	\$ 489,400.62	\$ 1,241.94	\$ 59,357.44
	1/2/2019						SME - Inv #92690 (P)			1/31/2019	\$ 1,241.94	12182	\$ 490,642.56	\$ -	
18	2/11/2019	\$ 4,001.33	\$ 494,643.89	2/12/2019	\$ 4,001.33	\$ 494,643.89	River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 13			2/15/2019	\$ 4,001.33	2028	\$ 494,643.89	\$ -	\$ 55,356.11
19	2/9/2019	\$ 570.00	\$ 495,213.89	2/26/2019	\$ 570.00	\$ 495,213.89	SME - Inv #93711 (P)			2/28/2019	\$ 570.00	12210	\$ 495,213.89	\$ -	\$ 54,786.11
20	3/13/2019	\$ 6,281.38	\$ 501,495.27	3/14/2019	\$ 6,281.38	\$ 501,495.27	River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 14			3/15/2019	\$ 1,168.61	12223	\$ 496,382.50	\$ 5,112.77	\$ 48,504.73
							SME - Inv #94411 (P)			3/15/2019	\$ 5,112.77	12224	\$ 501,495.27		
21	4/29/2019	\$ 4,983.56	\$ 506,478.83	4/30/2019	\$ 4,983.56	\$ 506,478.83	River Hills EDD & RPC Inv# 15			4/30/2019	\$ 2,311.84	12268	\$ 503,807.11	\$ 2,671.72	\$ 43,521.17
							SME - Inv #95156 (P)			4/30/2019	\$ 2,671.72	12251	\$ 506,478.83		

River Hills EDD & RPC

11/14/2019

EPA Brownfield Coalition Assessment
Federal Cash Control Register
EPA Grant #: 00E02003

[illegible]

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* 1. Type of Submission:

- ☐ Preapplication
☒ Application
☐ Changed/Corrected Application

* 2. Type of Application:

- ☒ New
☐ Continuation
☐ Revision

* If Revision, select appropriate letter(s):

* Other (Specify):

* 3. Date Received:

12/03/2019

4. Applicant Identifier:

5a. Federal Entity Identifier:

5b. Federal Award Identifier:

State Use Only:

6. Date Received by State:

7. State Application Identifier:

8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:

* a. Legal Name:

River Hills Economic Development District & Regional Plannin

* b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN):

* c. Organizational DUNS:

7950086060000

d. Address:

* Street1:

300 Spring Street, Suite 2A

Street2:

* City:

Jeffersonville

County/Parish:

Clark

* State:

IN: Indiana

Province:

* Country:

USA: UNITED STATES

* Zip / Postal Code:

47130-3498

e. Organizational Unit:

Department Name:

Division Name:

f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:

Prefix:

Mr.

* First Name:

Nick

Middle Name:

* Last Name:

Creevy

Suffix:

Title: Project Development Specialist

Organizational Affiliation:

* Telephone Number:

812-288-4624

Fax Number:

812-288-8105

* Email:

ncreevy@riverhills.cc

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* 9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:

D: Special District Government

Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:

Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:

* Other (specify):

* 10. Name of Federal Agency:

Environmental Protection Agency

11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:

66.818

CFDA Title:

Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements

* 12. Funding Opportunity Number:

EPA-OLEM-OBLR-19-07

* Title:

FY20 GUIDELINES FOR BROWNFIELD CLEANUP GRANTS

13. Competition Identification Number:

Title:

14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

* 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:

River Hills EDD & RPC - Community Wide Brownfields Assessment program for Clark, Floyd, Harrison, Scott and Washington Counties in Southern Indiana.

Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.

Add Attachments

Delete Attachments

View Attachments

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424**16. Congressional Districts Of:**

* a. Applicant 9th

* b. Program/Project 9th

Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

17. Proposed Project:

* a. Start Date: 10/01/2020

* b. End Date: 09/30/2023

18. Estimated Funding (\$):

* a. Federal	300,000.00
* b. Applicant	3,000.00
* c. State	0.00
* d. Local	0.00
* e. Other	0.00
* f. Program Income	0.00
* g. TOTAL	303,000.00

*** 19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?**

- ☐ a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on .
- ☐ b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.
- ☒ c. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.

*** 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.)**☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes", provide explanation and attach

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)**

☒ ** I AGREE

** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.

Authorized Representative:

Prefix: * First Name: Jill

Middle Name:

* Last Name: Saegesser

Suffix:

* Title: Executive Director

* Telephone Number: 812-288-4624

Fax Number: 812-288-8105

* Email: jsaegesser@riverhills.cc

* Signature of Authorized Representative: Jill Saegesser

* Date Signed: 12/03/2019